FISCAL NOTE

Bill #: HB0582 Title: Generally revise income taxes based on

federal taxable income

Primary

Sponsor: Bob Story Status: As introduced

Sponsor signature		Date	Dave Lewis, Budget Director		s, Budget Director	Date	
Fisca	al Sun	nmary					
		•	FY2000 Difference			FY2001 <u>Difference</u>	
Expe	nditur	PC•	Difference			Difference	
Expenditures: General Fund		\$175,000	75,000 (\$193,000)				
Reve							
General Fund			215,049	5,049 753,111			
State Special Revenue		13,582	13,582 42,550				
Net Impact on General Fund Balance:			\$40,049			\$946,111	
Yes	<u>No</u>			Yes	No		
X		Significant Local Gov. Impact			X	Technical Concerns	
	X	Included in the Executive Bud	get	X		Significant Long- Term Impacts	

Fiscal Analysis

ASSUMPTIONS:

Individual Income Tax

- 1. This proposal applies to tax years beginning after December 31, 1999 (tax year 2000). There is no revenue impact from this proposal in FY2000.
- 2. Under this proposal, the state tax liability for individual income tax payers would be based on federal taxable income. Taxpayers would make adjustments to federal taxable income for interest income on obligations of the U.S. government, railroad retirement, and exempt tribal income to arrive at adjusted

Fiscal Note Request, <u>HB0542</u>, <u>as introduced</u>

Page 2

(continued)

- federal taxable income. Adjusted federal taxable income would then be applied to the new rate tables provided for in the bill to arrive at state tax liability.
- 3. The rate tables provided for in the bill result in a reduction in liability of \$973,000 for tax year 2000. This reduction is fully reflected in fiscal year 2001.

Tax Credits/Deductions

1. The proposal eliminates several current law tax credits effective for tax year 2000. The impact of repealing these credits will be felt first in FY2001. The following table shows the tax credits being repealed and the estimated FY2001 impact:

			Tax
MCA	Corporation License Tax Credit Description		xpenditure
15-31-123	Investment Tax Credit	\$	20,000
15-31-132	Disability Insurance for Uninsured Credit		5,400
15-31-135	College Contribution Credit		9,000
15-31-137	Alternative Fuel Motor Vehicle Conversion Credit		10,000
15-32-107	Interest Differential Credit		10,000
15-32-602	Recycling Credit		91,500
15-32-609	Tax Deduction for Purchase of Recycled Material		175,000
90-8-202	Montana Capital Company Credit		160,000
	Total Corporation License Tax Credits	\$	480,900
			Tax
MCA	Individual Income Tax Credit Description	E	xpenditure
15-30-129	Credit for Disability Insurance	\$	20,000
15-30-162	Investment Tax Credit		40,000
15-30-163	Credit for Contribution to Unversity or College		110,000
15-30-164	Credit for Alternative Fuel Motor Vehicle Convers.		6,700
15-30-180	Credit for Preservation of Historic Building		unknown
15-32-109	Credit for Energy Conserving Investments		120,000
15-32-115	Credit for Geothermal Systems		40,000
15-32-201	Credit for Alternative Energy Systems		0
15-32-402	Wind Energy Producers' Credit		4,800
15-32-601	Credit for Recycling		100,000
90-8-202	Montana Capital Company Credit		130,000
	Total Individual Income Tax Credits	\$	571,500
	Total All Credits	\$	1,052,400

2. Under the proposal, corporation license tax collections will increase by \$480,900 and individual income tax collections will increase by \$571,500 for a total increase in general fund collections of \$1,052,400 in FY2001.

Property Tax Impacts

1. The proposal repeals sections MCA, 15-24-1401 and 1402. These sections provide a local-option property tax abatement for "new and expanding industry".

Fiscal Note Request, HB0542, as introduced

Page 3

(continued)

- 2. Under current law, the total tax expenditure associated with this abatement is \$2,500,000 in fiscal years 2000 and 2001.
- 3. Under the current abatement, 84% of all property receiving the abatement is business equipment, while the remaining 16% is real property. Under current law, approximately 38% of the business equipment receiving the abatement is personal property not liened to real property, and pays property taxes in the spring of each year.
- 4. Property taxes on property receiving this abatement are distributed 26.95% to the state general fund; 1.70% to the university systems; 18.76% to county governments; 3.64% to cities and towns; and 48.95% to local school districts.
- 5. The FY2000 impact from the property tax provisions of this proposal is to increase property taxes received by taxing jurisdictions by a total of \$798,000 (\$2,500,000 X 0.84 X 0.38 = \$798,000) distributed \$215,049 to the state general fund; \$13,582 to the university system; \$149,728 to county governments; \$29,026 to cities and towns; and \$390,615 to local school districts.
- 6. The FY2001 impact from the property tax provisions of this proposal is to increase property taxes by \$2,500,000 distributed \$673,711 to the state general fund; \$42,550 to the university system; \$469,072 to county governments; \$90,935 to cities and towns; and \$1,223,732 to local school districts.

Administrative Impacts

- 1. There will be one-time administrative expenses associated with reconfiguring the individual income tax computer system to conform to the new format of tying to federal taxable income. The department intends to replace its existing individual income tax system, and, therefore, will make only those modifications minimally required to implement this legislation. These one-time costs to modify the system are estimated to be \$175,000 in FY2000.
- 2. The department will have administrative savings of \$193,000 in FY2001. This is due to elimination of the current long and short form tax returns; having to process fewer income tax returns; reduced mail handling; reduced data entry exceptions; reduced computer storage costs; and lowered cost of processing refunds. These ongoing cost reductions reflect reductions in internal contracted services. There are no anticipated cost savings in the audit program of the agency.

FISCAL IMPACT:

TIDOTE IMPLET.	FY2000 <u>Difference</u>	FY2001 <u>Difference</u>	
Expenditures:	4177 000	(#102.000)	
Operating Expenses	\$175,000	(\$193,000)	
Funding:			
General Fund (01)	175,000	(193,000)	
Revenues:			
General Fund (01)	215,049	753,111	
State Special Revenue (02)	13,582	42,550	
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue	e minus Expenditure):		
General Fund (01)	\$40,049	\$946,111	
State Special Revenue (02)	13,582	42,550	

Fiscal Note Request, <u>HB0542</u>, <u>as introduced</u> Page 4 (continued)

EFFECT ON COUNTY OR OTHER LOCAL REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES:

Due to eliminating the local-option property tax incentive for new and expanding industries, property tax revenue in FY2000 would increase \$149,728 for county governments; \$29,026 for cities and towns; and \$390,615 for local schools. Revenue in FY2001 would increase \$469,072 for county governments; \$90,935 for cities and towns; and \$1,223,732 for local schools.

LONG-RANGE IMPACTS:

The on-going administrative savings would carry on in future years; the property tax revenue increases to local governments would continue in future years.